



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,667	02/05/2004	Mukesh Kumar Singh	TI-35979	5588
23494	7590	04/17/2008	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			DEBNATH, SUMAN	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999				
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com
uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,667	SINGH, MUKESH KUMAR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUMAN DEBNATH	2135	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 1-16 are pending in this application.
2. Claims 9-12 are presently amended.
3. Claims 15-16 have been newly presented in the amendment filed 21 January 2008.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 and 13 are objected to for lack of antecedent basis:

Claim 1 recites “the determinant” in line 3.

Claim 13 recites “the determinant” in line 2 and “the results” in line 4.

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 9-12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boylan et al.

(Pub. No.: US 2003/0028484 A1) (hereinafter “Boylan”).

7. As to claim 9, Boylan discloses a method of encryption, comprising: (a) defining a permutation source ([0008], lines 14-15); (b) generating a permuted message for an input message employing said

permutation source ([0008], lines 14-15); (c) padding said permuted message with said permutation source to obtain a preprocessed message ([0008], lines 16-18); and (d) encrypting said preprocessed message with block-based encryption method which has blocks smaller than said preprocessed message ([0008], lines 20-21).

8. As to claim 10, Boylan discloses wherein: said permutation source is generated by a hash of said input message ([0008], lines 14-15).

9. As to claim 11, Boylan discloses wherein: said permutation source is generated by a random sequence ([0008], lines 14-15).

10. As to claim 12, Boylan discloses wherein: said block-based encryption is a public key encryption ([0008]).

11. As to claim 15, Boylan discloses wherein said padding includes prepending said permuted message with said permutation source to obtain said preprocessed message ([0008], lines 14-15).

12. As to claim 16, Boylan discloses wherein said padding includes appending said permuted message with said permutation source to obtain said preprocessed message ([0008]).

13. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bohannon et al. (Patent No.: US 6,901,145 B1) (hereinafter “Bohannon”).

14. As to claim 13, Bohannon discloses a method of decrypting, comprising: (a) computing the determinant of a matrix-based encrypted message matrix (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61); (b) decrypting said determinant (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61); and (c) multiplying said matrix by the results of step (b) (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).

15. As to claim 14, Bohannon discloses wherein: (a) when said matrix-based encrypted message of step (a) of claim 13 had preprocessing including a permutation, applying the inverse of said permutation to the results of step (c) of claim 13 (col. 11, lines 25-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohannon and further in view of Slavin (Pub. No.: US 2004/0062390 A1).

18. As to claim 1, Bohannon discloses a method of encryption, comprising: (b) computing the determinant of said matrix (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61); (c) encrypting said determinant (col. 10, lines 64-67); and (d) multiplying said matrix by said encrypted determinant (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).

Bohannon doesn't explicitly disclose (a) partitioning an input message into matrix elements.

However, Slavin discloses (a) partitioning an input message into matrix elements ([0055], see also [0033], [0044]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Bohannon as taught by Slavin in order to "perform both encryption and decryption with relatively fewer calculations, which can result in a higher encryption/decryption throughput, and/or lower power consumption (Slavin, [0015])".

19. As to claim 2, Bohannon discloses further comprising: (a) prior to step (a) of claim 1, preprocessing said input message wherein said preprocessing includes a permutation of the message (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).
20. As to claim 3, Bohannon discloses wherein: (a) said permutation of step (a) of claim 2 is generated by a hash of said input message (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).
21. As to claim 4, Bohannon disclose wherein: (a) said permutation of step (a) of claim 2 is generated by a random sequence (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).
22. As to claim 5, Bohannon discloses wherein: (a) said preprocessing of step (a) of claim 2 includes exclusive ORing said message after permutation with generators of said permutation (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).
23. As to claim 6, Bohannon discloses wherein: (a) said encrypting of step (c) of claim 1 is public-key encryption (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).
24. As to claim 7, Bohannon discloses wherein: (a) said public-key encryption is RSA (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).
25. As to claim 8, Bohannon discloses wherein: (a) said partitioning of step (a) of claim 1 first fills the principal diagonal of said matrix (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61).

Response to Amendment

26. Applicant has amended claims 9-12, which necessitated new ground of rejections. See rejection above.

Response to Arguments

27. Applicant's arguments filed January 21, 2008 have fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that: "Bohannon does not teach, however, "computing the determinant of a matrix-based encrypted message matrix."

Examiner maintains that: Bohannon discloses computing the determinant of a matrix-based encrypted message matrix (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61, Bohannon teaches "the determinant of the matrix composed by the vectors" –e.g. see col. 11, lines 5-7).

Applicant further argues that: "Bohannon does not teach or suggest "encrypting said determinant" as recited in Claim 1. As such, Bohannon also fails to teach or suggest "multiplying said matrix by said encrypted determinant."

Examiner maintains that: Bohannon discloses encrypting said determinant (col. 10, lines 64-67, Bohannon teaches this concept by computing a secret key k as a determinant of a matrix where cryptographic shares are vectors that are used to compose the matrix) and multiplying said matrix by said encrypted determinant (col. 10, lines 64-67 to col. 11, lines 1-61, Bohannon teaches this concept by encrypting share table using encryption key).

28. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are

representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Conclusion

29. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUMAN DEBNATH whose telephone number is (571)270-1256. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on 571 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. D./
Examiner, Art Unit 2135

/KIMYEN VU/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2135